

Message

From: Finigan, Thomas (NFK) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MASSMAIL-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=USERS/CN=TFINIGAN]
Sent: 8/8/2011 8:57:26 PM
To: Khan, Annie (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MassMail-01/cn=Recipients/cn=Annie.Khan]
Subject: RE: Question

Annie, I've checked around—it looks like we have not yet had to resort to calling a substitute chemist. We have a standard motion seeking the court's approval to allow it, but it hasn't been put to the test! How have other counties made out?

From: Khan, Annie (DPH)
Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 1:55 PM
To: Finigan, Thomas (NFK)
Subject: Question

Hi Tom,

Sorry to bother you. But I have a question in regards to the Bullcoming Decision for the US Supreme Court.

I have a meeting with AG's office next month to discuss my stand point on the Bullcoming Decision and what are my recommendations/solutions to address this matter.

I am currently in the process of writing the protocols for the Chemical Terrorism and Drug Analysis Lab due to the Bullcoming Decision. I have been contacted by a few of your colleagues in other Superior courts on how to address the issue of substitute chemist. I was curious if you or your colleagues at Norfolk have been impacted by this decision, yet?

Thanks to Mr. Melendez-Diaz and Mr. Bullcoming, they have made life a little more difficult for all of us.

Any help or suggestion would be much appreciated. Have a nice weekend.

Thanks.
Annie
Chemical Terrorism Lab
Drug Analysis Lab
617-983-6622 (work)
617-983-6625 (fax)
[REDACTED] (cell)